

Focus on IFA's work

Edition 4/2016

617.0-IFA:638.222

Hand-arm vibration: round-robin test to determine the measurement uncertainty

Problem

In order for the reliability of measurement results to be estimated, the measurement uncertainty must be stated. This value constrains the range of values within which, with a certain probability, the actual measured value lies. If different test bodies are to be assessed regarding the quality of their measurement results, the measurement uncertainty must be known. This value becomes more important when measurement data from different sources are fed into databases. It is also needed for the validation of risk analyses, for prognoses of vibration reduction and for programmes for this purpose.

A harmonized guide for assessment of the measurement uncertainty of different measured variables has been available for 20 years in the form of the "Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement" (GUM). Before now however, the measurement uncertainty values for application of the GUM have not been available. Some of these values must be obtained by experimentation.

Activities

A round-robin test was conducted in order to determine the scatter between the test bodies (laboratories). The scatter between test bodies essentially comprises uncertainty components of the instrument, the sensor interface and the sensor position. In order to ensure that the conditions were identical, a hypothetical workplace



Round-robin testing of workplaces involving grinders, rotary hammers and jig saws

was set up involving three work tasks for two experienced workers.

Seven accredited test bodies took part in the round-robin test. They conducted measurements at different times and on different days to each other. In order to assure homogeneity, new tool attachments and materials were used on each day of measurement, and the procedure was monitored by an independent observer. For comparison of the measurement chains, further monitoring tasks were performed by means of a calibrator for three specified frequencies and amplitudes.

Results and Application

The orientation values of the relative measurement uncertainty were determined for the workplaces studied and input into the DIN SPEC 45660-2 specification in the form of relative test body uncertainties (u_M): rotary hammer $u_M = 0.123$, jig saw $u_M = 0.093$, grinder $u_M = 0.312$.

The result of the uncertainty budget was also compared with further parameters, and validated (EUROLAB TR 1/2006).

Area of Application

All areas

Additional Information

- Kaulbars, U.: Determining the measurement uncertainty of workplace measurements conforming to gum. 13th International Conference on Hand-Arm Vibration, 12-16 October 2015, Beijing/China – paper. Conference proceedings, pp. 43-44. Published by: Gao, X.; He, L.; Dong, R.G.; Brammer, A. Peking University, International Advisory Committee of the International Conference on Hand-Arm Vibration, Peking University Health Science Center, 2015 www.dguv.de/webcode/m818848
- DIN V ENV 13005: Leitfaden zur Angabe der Unsicherheit beim Messen (06.99). Beuth, Berlin 1999
- DIN SPEC 45660-2: Guide for dealing with uncertainty in acoustics and vibration – Part 2: Uncertainty of vibration quantities (08.15). Beuth, Berlin 2015

- DIN EN ISO 5349: Mechanical vibration – Measurement and evaluation of human exposure to hand-transmitted vibration – Part 2: Practical guidance for measurement at the workplace (12.01). Beuth, Berlin 2001
- Guide to the Evaluation of Measurement Uncertainty for Quantitative Test Results. Technical Report 1/2006. Published by: EUROLAB, Paris 2006 www.eurolab.org
- DIN EN ISO 8041/A1: Human response to vibration – Measuring instrumentation – Amendment 1 (ISO 8041:2005/DAM 1:2015) (05.15). Beuth, Berlin 2015
- DIN EN 12096: Mechanical vibration – Declaration and verification of vibration emission values; (09.97). Beuth, Berlin 1997

Expert Assistance

IFA, Division 4: Ergonomics – Physical environmental factors

Literature Requests

IFA, Central Division